



IFW

PATENT

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
(Case No. 00-1086-G)

In re Application of:

Letsinger, et al.

Serial No.: 10/633,878

Filed: August 4, 2003

For: Method of Detection by Enhancement
of Silver Staining

Examiner: TBA

Group Art Unit: 1645

Confirmation No.: 5233

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

TRANSMITTAL LETTER

1. We are transmitting herewith the attached:
 - a) Seventh Supplemental Information Disclosure Statement
 - b) U.S. PTO 1449 form and 2 references
 - c) Return Receipt Postcard
2. With respect to additional fees:

No additional fee is required.
3. GENERAL AUTHORIZATION: Please charge any additional fees or credit overpayment to Deposit Account No. 13-2490. A duplicate copy of this sheet is enclosed.
4. CERTIFICATE OF MAILING UNDER 37 CFR § 1.8: The undersigned hereby certifies that this Transmittal Letter and the paper, as described in paragraph 1 hereinabove, are being deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to the Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on this 14 day of July, 2004.

Dated:

July 14, 2004

By:

Emily Miao

Reg. No. 35,285

McDONNELL BOEHNEN HULBERT & BERGHOFF LLP
300 South Wacker Drive
Chicago, Illinois 60606
Telephone (312) 913-0001
Fax (312) 913-0002



PATENT

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
(Our Case No. 00-1086-G)

In the Application of:)	
)	
Letsinger, et al.)	
)	
Serial No. 10/633,878)	Examiner: TBA
)	
Filed: August 4, 2003)	Art Unit: 1645
)	
For: Method of Detection by Enhancement)	Confirmation: 5233
of Silver Staining)	

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

SEVENTH SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT

Sir:

In order to comply with discretionary regulations 37 CFR §§1.97 and 1.98, attached hereto is Form PTO-1449, copies¹ of the documents listed thereon. These documents contain information which the Examiner may consider to be important in deciding whether to allow the present application to issue as a patent.

1. Bendayan, M., "A Review of the Potential and Versatility of Colloidal Gold Cytochemical Labeling for Molecular Morphology," *Biotechnic & Histochemistry*, Volume 75, p. 203-242 (2000)
2. Taton, T., et al., "Scanometric DNA Array Detection with Nanoparticle Probes," *Science*, Volume 289, p. 1757-1760 (2000)

In accordance with MPEP Sections 609 and 707.05(b), it is requested that each document cited (including any cited in applicant's specification which is not repeated on the attached Form

¹To the extent that a document is listed and no copy of same is attached, then such document is not at the present time available to the undersigned or is available in the file of a parent application. If a listed document is not in the English language and an English translation is readily available, such translation is also attached; if translation is not attached it is not readily available to the undersigned. If a foreign language patent document is cited, and an English language equivalent is known to the undersigned, then such equivalent patent is also cited on the attached form along with the corresponding foreign language patent and a connecting arrow indicated therebetween; if no such English language equivalent is cited, then none is known to undersigned.


PTO-1449) be given thorough consideration and that it be cited of record in the prosecution history of the present application by initialing on Form PTO-1449. Such initialing is requested even if the Examiner does not consider a cited document to be sufficiently pertinent to use in a rejection, or otherwise does not consider it to be prior art for any reason, or even if the Examiner does not believe that the guidelines for citation have been fully complied with. This is requested so that each document becomes listed on the face of the patent issuing on the present application.

The present Disclosure Statement is being submitted in compliance with 37 CFR 1.56 insofar as an Examiner might consider any of the cited documents important in deciding whether to allow the application to issue as a patent, but the citation of each document is not to be construed as an admission that such document is necessarily relevant or prior art. No representation is intended that the cited documents represent the results of a complete search, and it is anticipated that the Examiner, in the normal course of examination, will make an independent search and will determine the best prior art consistent with 37 CFR 1.104(a) and 1.106(b) and, in the course of each search, will review for relevance every document cited on the attached form even if not initialed.

Early and favorable consideration is earnestly solicited.

Dated: July 14, 2007

Respectfully submitted,



Emily Miao

Registration No. 35,285